Enhancing tornado intensity estimates with Doppler radar

MALLIE TOTH PURDUE UNIVERSITY INDIANA, USA

WITH R.J. TRAPP, J. WURMAN, AND K.A. KOSIBA

Motivation

- The tornado climatology is limited by:
 - Eyewitness reports
 - Post-event damage surveys
- The information is incorporated into the record as EF Scale ratings.
 - Relates damage to low-level wind speed.
 - Requires damage to occur to provide an estimate.
- There is currently no known way to objectively remove the effects of these biases from the record.

An Alternate Approach

- Fixed Doppler radar (i.e. WSR-88D) data in isolation to approximate lowlevel tornadic winds.
- Use mobile radar (i.e. DOW) data for true low-level wind speeds.
- Previous work to relate WSR-88D data to:
 - Climatological purposes
 - F Scale rating
- Mobile radar velocity estimates have been used to augment EF Scale ratings in the United States.





Data Selection and Methods

- Relating "numbers to numbers," the WSR-88D velocity signature to the DOW "ground truth."
- Restricted heights considered:
 - DOW data to <5° elevation.
 - WSR-88D data to 0.5° elevation.
- Event selection was limited by the velocity data and the operational status of the nearest WSR-88D.



Date 🗾	Location 🛛 🔄	88D range 🗾
05/16/95	Hanston, KS	52
06/02/95	Dimmitt, TX	109
05/26/96	Friona, TX	90
05/31/96	Rolla, KS	170
04/10/97	Tulia, TX	81
05/26/97	Kiefer, OK	53
05/30/98	Spencer, SD*	75
05/01/99	Tarzan, TX	44
05/03/99	Moore, OK*	17
05/31/99	Sitka, KS	66
06/03/99	Almena, KS*	120
06/04/99	Thedford, NE	21
06/05/99	Bassett, NE	108
05/17/00	Brady, NE	112
05/26/00	Throckmorton,TX	197
05/06/01	Marietta, OK	139
05/24/04	Hebron, NE*	62
05/13/05	Seymour, TX	116
06/09/05	Hill City, KS	150
06/15/05	Trego Center, KS	115
04/21/07	TX Panhandle	45
04/23/07	Protection, KS	75
05/10/08	Stuttgart, AR	82
06/05/09	Goshen County, WY	64

Events

- •Range from ~20-200 km from the WSR-88D.
 - •Eventually removed events >100 km away.
- •F or EF Scale ratings from

0 to 5.

- •Retains relevance for countries with primarily weak tornadoes.
- •Covers nearly 15 years of data collection.

Data Selection and Methods

- Statistical relationship between WSR-88D and the corresponding DOW data.
- Differential velocity:

$$\Delta V = (V_{\rm max} - V_{\rm min})$$

- DOW and WSR-88D ΔV maxima were determined for each event.
- Relate the intensity of the WSR-88D ΔV to that of the DOW for each event via linear regression.





Acknowledging Limitations

- WSR-88D (and similar platforms) have inherent limitations:
 - Beam broadening
 - Increasing height sampling with increasing range
 - Chance positioning of the vortex relative to the radar beam
 - "Azimuthal offsets"





Location of Radar

Radar Model

Range/ Offset	ΔV 0.0°	ΔV 0.1°	∆V 0.3°	ΔV 0.5°	ΔV 0.9°
50 km	49.2	44.78	43.78	42.12	39.15
100 km	36.36	36.75	39.34	39.64	34.06
150 km	36.88	36.5	33.74	31.23	28.11
200 km	33.42	33.13	30.95	27.17	21.09

 $\Delta V in m/s$

Radar Model

• Thought exercise:

 In ~6 volume scans, for a tornado traveling consistently 50km away from the radar, what would have to occur for the tornado to be sampled at the same azimuthal offset in all 6 scans?

• Answer:

• A specific, consistent translational speed (*e.g.* 5 *m/s* for 30 minutes)



Conclusions and Future Work

- Results suggest that use of WSR-88D data may provide a more objective method of estimating lowlevel tornado wind speeds.
 - Dependent on the known existence of a tornado.
 - Dismissal of the platform as a tool for velocity estimates may be premature.
 - Applicable internationally for countries with sufficient radar coverage (e.g. Romania WSR-98D?)
- Future work will involve more complicated velocity profiles, including multiple vortex modeled output in a 3D radar model.

Thank you!



Mallie Toth Purdue University Department of Earth and Atmospheric Sciences Severe Weather Research Group toth2@purdue.edu